

Redheffer Notes 7

redheffer@optoutofdatabases.33mail.com

August 22, 2020

Let μ be the Mobius function and M be the Mertens function. Consider the following $n \times n$ matrices:

$$\begin{aligned}
 [A]_{i,j} &:= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = ik, k \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \\
 [M]_{i,j} &:= \begin{cases} \mu(k) & \text{if } j = ik, k \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \\
 [F]_{i,j} &:= \lfloor \frac{j}{i} \rfloor \\
 [E]_{i,j} &:= M(\lfloor \frac{j}{i} \rfloor) \\
 [U]_{i,j} &:= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \leq j \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Theorem 1. *We show the following matrix identities:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 AU &= F \\
 MU &= E \\
 MF &= U \\
 AE &= U
 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Proof. Note that $A(i, :)$ has a 1 every i columns; therefore, $A(i, :) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} \\ \\ \text{ } j \text{ times} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{matrix} = A(i, :)U(:, j)$ is the

number of times i goes into m without remainder, or $\lfloor \frac{j}{i} \rfloor$. This is the first identity.

The second identity is similarly straightforward as $[MU]_{i,j} = \sum_{k=1}^j [M]_{i,k} = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{j}{i} \rfloor} \mu(k) =: M(\lfloor \frac{j}{i} \rfloor)$.

The third identity is a direct consequence of Mobius inversion as $[MF]_{i,j} = \sum_{k=1}^n [M]_{i,k} \lfloor \frac{j}{k} \rfloor = \sum_{l=1}^{\lfloor \frac{j}{i} \rfloor} \mu(l) \lfloor \frac{j}{li} \rfloor$ which since as in the first identity $\lfloor \frac{j}{i} \rfloor = \sum_{l=1}^{\lfloor \frac{j}{i} \rfloor} 1$ then by a generalized Mobius inversion this = 1.

The fourth identity is a corollary of the previous identities, since:

(all matrices defined are upper triangular with all nonzeros along the diagonal and are therefore invertible)

$$\begin{aligned}
MF &= U \\
MUU^{-1}F &= U \\
EU^{-1} &= UF^{-1} \\
EU^{-1} &= A^{-1} \\
AE &= U
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

□

Now let R is the Redheffer matrix and $e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, e_{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. What follows is a matrix-identities-only proof of Redheffer.

Theorem 2.

$$\det(R) = \sum_{k=1}^n \mu(k) \tag{4}$$

Proof.

$$\det(R) = \det(A + e_{-1}e_1^T) \tag{5}$$

By the Matrix-determinant lemma:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (1 + e_1^T A^{-1} e_{-1}) \det(A) \\
&= (1 + e_1^T A^{-1} e_{-1})
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

By the previous theorem:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (1 + e_1^T EU^{-1} e_{-1}) \\
&= (1 + e_1^T Me_{-1}) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n \mu(k)
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

□

Note that this proof combined with the theorems above give rise to a number of different, equivalent matrix determinant problems.

I have nowhere else to put this, but—

Remark 2.1. Let

$$[D]_{i,j} := \frac{j}{i}, j \geq i \tag{8}$$

$$\text{Then } D^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{2}{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{3}{2} & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & -\frac{n}{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

I have not been able to find any useful facts using this in conjunction with F .